## CRITERIA HAVING NONCOMPLIANCES SUPPLEMENT TO THE FY 2005 ACSEP REPORT

**Prepared by Aircraft Certification Service** 

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
Table 1. – Systemic Noncompliances	2
Table 2. – Isolated Noncompliances	4
Table 3. – CFR-Based Noncompliances	7
Table 4. – Systemic Noncompliances at TSO Facilities	8
Table 5. – Isolated Noncompliances at TSO Facilities	10
Table 6. – CFR-Based Noncompliances at TSO Facilities	12
Table 7. – Systemic Noncompliances at PC Facilities	13
Table 8. – Isolated Noncompliances at PC Facilities	15
Table 9. – CFR-Based Noncompliances at PC Facilities	17
Table 10. – Systemic Noncompliances at PMA Facilities	18
Table 11. – Isolated Noncompliances at PMA Facilities	20
Table 12. – CFR-Based Noncompliances at PMA Facilities	22

## **INTRODUCTION**

The following tables provide the specific criteria data collected during FY 2005 ACSEP evaluations conducted at production approval holders. Tables 1 through 3 present data from all approval types combined. The remainder of the tables present data for the particular approval type specified.

Table 1. – Systemic Noncompliances

	Description	Number of Systemic	Percent of Total	Percent with
			Systemic	Procedures in
		Noncompliances	Noncompliances	Place
	Initial and periodic	1 (one on phanees	roncomphances	Timee
002	evaluation of suppliers	6	7%	50%
	Special processes	0	770	2070
	identified and defined	4	5%	33%
	Manufacturing records	4	5%	31%
	Tool and gauge	<u> </u>	370	3170
	calibration	4	5%	31%
	Technical data file	4	5%	29%
	Tool and gauge	7	370	29/0
		3	4%	23%
	accuracy Work instructions	3	4/0	23/0
	control manufacturing			
	•	3	4%	23%
	process Internal audit	3	4%	23%
		3	4%	23%
	Internal audit results	2	20/	1.50/
	reported to management	2	2%	15%
	Drawing control system	2	2%	15%
	Quality review of	2	20/	1.50/
	purchase documents	2 2	2%	15%
	MRB	2	2%	15%
	Policy document	_		
	describes organization	2	2%	15%
	Control of shelf-life			
	materials	2	2%	14%
	Receiving inspection			
	records	2	2%	14%
	Operating within			
	production limitations	2	2%	14%
	Verification of shelf-			
415	life materials	2	2%	14%
	Tags, forms, etc.			
107	described	2	2%	14%
	Use of approved			
601	suppliers	2	2%	14%
307	Software identification	1	1%	33%
	Use of other party			
	registered suppliers	1	1%	20%
	Software Configuration			
	Management Plan	1	1%	17%
	Software security	1	1%	17%
	Control of parts from			
	associate facilities	1	1%	17%

Criteria	Description	Number of	Percent of Total	Percent with
	-	Systemic	Systemic	Procedures in
		Noncompliances	Noncompliances	Place
521	NDI operators qualified	1	1%	13%
	NDI processes			
520	controlled	1	1%	13%
420	Traceability to split lots	1	1%	11%
	MRB major changes			
531	FAA approved	1	1%	10%
	Statistical sampling			
501	plans	1	1%	10%
	Special process operator			
404	qualifications	1	1%	9%
	Documents to importing			
430	country	1	1%	9%
	Test procedures			
513	controlled	1	1%	8%
	Policy document			
104	reviewed	1	1%	8%
	Flow down of data to			
611	suppliers	1	1%	8%
	Incorporation of design			
425	changes	1	1%	8%
409	Inspection methods	1	1%	8%
423	Control of cleaners	1	1%	8%
413	Receiving inspection	1	1%	8%
411	Issuance of stamps	1	1%	8%
	Management review of			
203	design changes	1	1%	8%
105	Quality manual in use	1	1%	7%
	Nonconforming			
530	products controlled	1	1%	7%
	Segregation of parts in			
424	storage	1	1%	7%
	Shipping of conforming			
428	products	1	1%	7%
	Incoming material			
417	segregated	1	1%	7%
	Corrective action based			
534	on MRB	1	1%	7%
204	Design change approval	1	1%	7%
207	Major design changes	1	1%	7%

Table 2. – Isolated Noncompliances

Criteria	Description	Number of	Percent of Total	Percent with
Cincia	Description	Isolated	Isolated	Procedures
		Noncompliances	Noncompliances	in Place
508	Tool and gauge calibration	8	9%	5%
202	Technical data file	6	6%	4%
202	Manufacturing	0	0/0	4/0
405	records	5	5%	3%
401	Work instructions control manufacturing process	5	5%	3%
530	Nonconforming products controlled	5	5%	3%
602	Initial and periodic evaluation of suppliers	4	4%	3%
206	Minor design changes	4	4%	3%
413	Receiving inspection	4	4%	2%
411	Issuance of stamps	3	3%	2%
601	Use of approved suppliers	3	3%	2%
501	Statistical sampling plans	2	2%	2%
406	Special process equipment calibrated	2	2%	1%
416	Control of shelf life materail	2	2%	1%
510	Protection of tools and gauges	2	2%	1%
201	Drawing control system	2	2%	1%
428	Shipping of conforming products	2	2%	1%
426	Storage of conforming parts	2	2%	1%
409	Inspection methods	2	2%	1%
306	Software development environment	1	1%	5%
609	Control of direct ship suppliers	1	1%	3%
314	Verification prior to use	1	1%	2%

Criteria	Description	Number of Isolated Noncompliances	Percent of Total Isolated Noncompliances	Percent with Procedures in Place
504	SPC control limits	1	1%	2%
527	NDI tanks and solutions	1	1%	2%
526	NDI test samples	1	1%	2%
520	NDI processes controlled	1	1%	2%
522	NDI procedures available	1	1%	1%
512	Tool control of NDI equipment	1	1%	1%
607	Delegation of inspection to supplier	1	1%	1%
420	Traceability to split lots	1	1%	1%
412	Environmental controls	1	1%	1%
404	Special process operator qualifications	1	1%	1%
514	Organizational review of test procedures	1	1%	1%
112	Feedback from users	1	1%	1%
533	MRB major or minor determinations	1	1%	1%
423	Control of cleaners	1	1%	1%
402	Special processes identified and defined	1	1%	1%
207	Major design changes	1	1%	1%
611	Flow down of data to suppliers	1	1%	1%
403	New or changed processes approved	1	1%	1%
534	Corrective action based on MRB	1	1%	1%
205	Design changes appropriately documented	1	1%	1%
106	Quality system data and changes submitted to FAA	1	1%	1%

Criteria	Description	Number of Isolated Noncompliances	Percent of Total Isolated Noncompliances	Percent with Procedures in Place
204	Design change approval	1	1%	1%
417	Incoming material segregated	1	1%	1%
102	Operating within production limitations	1	1%	1%
103	Policy document describes organization	1	1%	1%
107	Tags, forms, etc. described	1	1%	1%
427	Part marking	1	1%	1%
414	Receiving Inspection records	1	1%	1%

Table 3. – CFR-Based Noncompliances

Criteria	Description	3. – CFR-Basea Non Number of CFR-	Percent of Total	Percent
Citteria	Description	Based	CFR-Based	with
		Noncompliances	Noncompliances	Procedures
		Noncomphances	roncomphances	in Place
	Special processes			III I IIICC
402	identified and defined	3	13%	2%
427	Part marking	3	13%	2%
727	Identification of	3	1370	270
408	inspection stations	2	8%	2%
400	Failures, malfunctions,	2	070	270
110	defects reported	2	8%	1%
207	Major design changes	2	8%	1%
207	NDI processes	2	070	1/0
520	controlled	1	4%	2%
320	NDI acceptance	1	470	270
524	criteria	1	4%	2%
324	Statistical sampling	1	470	270
501	1 0	1	4%	1%
501	plans	1	4%0	1%
512	Test procedures	1	40/	10/
513	controlled	1	4%	1%
200	Incorporation of	1	40/	10/
208	design changes	1	4%	1%
100	Facility relocations		40 /	40/
109	reported	1	4%	1%
206	Minor design changes	1	4%	1%
	Design change			
204	approval	1	4%	1%
	Design changes			
	appropriately			
205	documented	1	4%	1%
	Operating within			
102	production limitations	1	4%	1%
409	Inspection methods	1	4%	1%
105	Quality manual in use	1	4%	1%

Table 4. – Systemic Noncompliances at TSO Facilities

Table 4. – Systemic Noncompliances at TSO Facilities  Criteria Description Number of Percent of TSO Percent wi				
Criteria	Description			Procedures
		Systemic	Systemic	in Place
	Nancanforming	Noncompliances	Noncompliances	In Place
530	Nonconforming products controlled	6	7%	170/
116	Internal audit	<u>6</u> 5	6%	17% 17%
		5		
409	Inspection methods	3	6%	14%
500	Tool and gauge	E	<b>CO</b> /	1.40/
508 206	calibration	<u>5</u> 4	6% 5%	14% 11%
	Minor design changes			
405	Manufacturing records	4	5%	11%
413	Receiving inspection	4	5%	11%
500	Management review	2	20/	100/
532	of nonconforming data	3	3%	10%
60.2	Initial and periodic		20/	100/
602	evaluation of suppliers	3	3%	10%
604	Use of approved		20/	00/
601	suppliers	3	3%	8%
	Work instructions			
	control manufacturing	_		
401	process	3	3%	8%
	Internal audit results			
	reported to			
117	management	2	2%	7%
	Flow down of data to			
611	suppliers	2	2%	6%
	Test procedures			
513	controlled	2	2%	6%
105	Quality manual in use	2	2%	6%
	Drawing control			
201	system	2	2%	6%
529	MRB	2	2%	6%
427	Part marking	2	2%	6%
202	Technical data file	2	2%	5%
207	Major design changes	2	2%	5%
	Software			
	Configuration			
310	Management Plan	1	1%	13%
	Programmed media			
308	handling/storage	1	1%	7%
	Use of qualified			
506	personnel for SQC	1	1%	5%
	Statistical sampling			
501	plans	1	1%	4%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Noncompliances	Percent of TSO Systemic Noncompliances	Percent with Procedures in Place
	Control of supplier			
612	design	1	1%	4%
430	Documents to importing country	1	1%	4%
104	Policy document reviewed	1	1%	3%
406	Special process equipment calibrated	1	1%	3%
402	Special processes identified and defined	1	1%	3%
425	Incorporation of design changes	1	1%	3%
534	Corrective action based on MRB	1	1%	3%
106	Quality system data and changes submitted to FAA	1	1%	3%
426	Storage of conforming parts	1	1%	3%
107	Tags, forms, etc. described	1	1%	3%
415	Verification of shelf- life materials	1	1%	3%
422	Prevention of part damage/contamination	1	1%	3%
416	Control of shelf-life materials	1	1%	3%
428	Shipping of conforming products	1	1%	3%
417	Incoming material segregated	1	1%	3%
509	Calibration standards	1	1%	3%

Table 5. – Isolated Noncompliances at TSO Facilities

<b>C</b> ''		ited Noncompliances		D 4
Criteria	Description	Number of	Percent of TSO	Percent
		Isolated	Isolated	with
		Noncompliances	Noncompliances	Procedures
	T			in Place
512	Test procedures	2	00/	00/
513	controlled	3	8%	9%
202	Management review of	2	50/	60/
203	design changes	2	5%	6%
500	Tool and gauge calibration	2	50/	60/
508		2 2	5%	6%
409	Inspection methods	2	5%	6%
520	Nonconforming	2	50/	60/
530	products controlled	2	5%	6%
427	Part marking	2	5%	6%
202	Technical data file	2	5%	5%
413	Receiving inspection	2	5%	5%
	Software problem			
312	reporting	1	3%	13%
307	Software identification	1	3%	7%
307	Facility relocations	1	370	7 70
109	reported	1	3%	4%
107	Quality review of	1	370	7/0
614	purchase documents	1	3%	3%
014	Internal audit results	1	370	370
117	reported to management	1	3%	3%
11/	Special processes	1	370	370
402	identified and defined	1	3%	3%
402	Initial and periodic	1	370	370
602	evaluation of suppliers	1	3%	3%
002	New or changed	1	370	370
403	processes approved	1	3%	3%
103	Traceability of	1	370	370
418	components	1	3%	3%
110	Storage of conforming	1	370	370
426	parts	1	3%	3%
120	Verification of shelf-	1	370	370
415	life materials	1	3%	3%
713	Policy document	1	370	370
103	describes organization	1	3%	3%
105	Control of shelf-life	1	370	570
416	materials	1	3%	3%
710	Shipping of conforming	1	370	370
428	products	1	3%	3%
201	Drawing control system	1	3%	3%
201	Drawing control system	1	3/0	5/0

Criteria	Description	Number of Isolated Noncompliances	Percent of TSO Isolated Noncompliances	Percent with Procedures in Place
	Segregation of parts in			
424	storage	1	3%	3%
206	Minor design changes	1	3%	3%
401	Work instructions control manufacturing	1	20/	20/
401	Tool and gauge	1	3%	3%
507	Tool and gauge		20/	20/
507	accuracy	l	3%	3%
411	Issuance of stamps	1	3%	3%

Table 6. – CFR-Based Noncompliances at TSO Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of CFR-	Percent of TSO	Percent
	_	Based	CFR-Based	with
		Noncompliances	Noncompliances	Procedures
		_	_	in Place
427	Part marking	3	27%	8%
	Identification of			
408	inspection stations	2	18%	6%
	Facility relocations			
109	reported	1	9%	4%
	Incorporation of			
208	design changes	1	9%	4%
	Special processes			
402	identified and defined	1	9%	3%
	Failures, malfunctions,			
110	defects reported	1	9%	3%
206	Minor design changes	1	9%	3%
	Design change			
204	approval	1	9%	3%

Table 7. – Systemic Noncompliances at PC Facilities

Table 7. – Systemic Noncompliances at PC Facilities					
Criteria	Description	Number of	Percent of PC	Percent	
		Systemic	Systemic	with	
		Noncompliances	Noncompliances	Procedures	
116	x . 1 11	4	607	in Place	
116	Internal audit	4	6%	15%	
400	Special processes	_	607	1.70 (	
402	identified and defined	4	6%	15%	
409	Inspection methods	4	6%	14%	
413	Receiving inspection	3	4%	11%	
	New or changed				
403	processes approved	3	4%	11%	
	Environmental				
412	controls	2	3%	9%	
	Corrective action				
534	based on MRB	2	3%	8%	
	Control of shelf-life				
416	materials	2	3%	8%	
	Initial and periodic				
602	evaluation of suppliers	2	3%	8%	
516	Test records	2	3%	8%	
	Special process				
406	equipment calibrated	2	3%	8%	
	Use of approved				
601	suppliers	2	3%	8%	
206	Minor design changes	2	3%	7%	
	Design change	_	5 / 5	.,,	
204	approval	2	3%	7%	
410	Inspection marking	2	3%	7%	
110	Prevention of part		370	770	
422	damage/contamination	2	3%	7%	
202	Technical data file	2	3%	7%	
202	Tool and gauge	2	370	7 70	
508	calibration	2	3%	7%	
529	MRB	2	3%	7%	
427	Part marking	2	3%	7%	
				17%	
505	PRE-control method	1	1%		
503	SPC methods	1	1%	9%	
	Change				
211	documentation and	1	10/	70/	
311	approval	1	1%	7%	
423	Control of cleaners	1	1%	4%	
	Protection of tools and	_		45.	
510	gauges	1	1%	4%	
511	SOT gauges	1	1%	4%	

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Noncompliances	Percent of PC Systemic Noncompliances	Percent with Procedures in Place
	Test procedures	_		
513	controlled	1	1%	4%
	Special process	_		
404	operator qualifications	1	1%	4%
	Traceability of			
418	components	1	1%	4%
	Work instructions			
	control manufacturing			
401	process	1	1%	4%
	Segregation of parts in			
424	storage	1	1%	4%
	Shipping of			
428	conforming products	1	1%	4%
102	Operating within production limitations	1	1%	4%
	Management review			
203	of design changes	1	1%	4%
405	Manufacturing records	1	1%	4%
	Storage of conforming			
426	parts	1	1%	3%
105	Quality manual in use	1	1%	3%
	Incorporation of			
425	design changes	1	1%	3%
530	Nonconforming products controlled	1	1%	3%

Table 8. – Isolated Noncompliances at PC Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of	Percent of PC	Percent
Criteria	Description	Isolated	Isolated	with
		Noncompliances	Noncompliances	Procedures
		roncomphances	Noncomphances	in Place
116	Internal audit	4	6%	13%
110	Special processes		070	1370
402	identified and defined	4	6%	13%
102	New or changed		070	1370
403	processes approved	3	4%	10%
413	Receiving inspection	3	4%	10%
206	Minor design changes	2	3%	7%
409	Inspection methods	2	3%	7%
	Environmental		J , C	
412	controls	2	3%	7%
	Control of shelf-life	_	2,0	7.70
416	materials	2	3%	7%
427	Part marking	2	3%	7%
516	Test records	2	3%	7%
529	MRB	2	3%	7%
523	Use of approved		270	7,0
601	suppliers	2	3%	7%
001	Initial and periodic		370	7,0
602	evaluation of suppliers	2	3%	7%
	Operating within			
102	production limitations	1	1%	3%
105	Quality manual in use	1	1%	3%
202	Technical data file	1	1%	3%
	Management review		- , ,	273
203	of design changes	1	1%	3%
	Design change		- , ,	273
204	approval	1	1%	3%
	Change		- , ,	273
	documentation and			
311	approval	1	1%	3%
	Work instructions			
	control manufacturing			
401	process	1	1%	3%
	Special process			
404	operator qualifications	1	1%	3%
	Special process			
406	equipment calibrated	1	1%	3%
410	Inspection marking	1	1%	3%
	Traceability of			
418	components	1	1%	3%

Criteria	Description	Number of Isolated Noncompliances	Percent of PC Isolated Noncompliances	Percent with Procedures in Place
	Prevention of part			
422	damage/contamination	1	1%	3%
423	Control of cleaners	1	1%	3%
40.4	Segregation of parts in		10/	20/
424	storage	1	1%	3%
425	Incorporation of design changes	1	1%	3%
426	Storage of conforming parts	1	1%	3%
	Shipping of			
428	conforming products	1	1%	3%
505	PRE-control method	1	1%	3%
508	Tool and gauge calibration	1	1%	3%
	Protection of tools and			
510	gauges	1	1%	3%
511	SOT gauges	1	1%	3%
530	Nonconforming products controlled	1	1%	3%
534	Corrective action based on MRB	1	1%	3%

Table 9. – CFR-Based Noncompliances at PC Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of CFR- Based Noncompliances	Percent of PC CFR-Based Noncompliances	Percent with Procedures in Place
513	Test procedures controlled	1	33%	4%
	Failures, malfunctions,			
110	defects reported	1	33%	4%
105	Quality manual in use	1	33%	3%

Table 10. – Systemic Noncompliances at PMA Facilities

Table 10. – Systemic Noncompliances at PMA Facilities  Criteria Description Number of Percent of PMA Percent					
Criteria	Description	1 - 1 - 1 - 1			
		Systemic	Systemic	with	
		Noncompliances	Noncompliances	Procedures	
	T '4' 1 1 ' 1'			in Place	
(02	Initial and periodic	0	70/	00/	
602	evaluation of suppliers	9	7%	9%	
202	Technical data file	9	7%	8%	
413	Receiving inspection	9	7%	8%	
520	Nonconforming	7	<b>CO</b> /	60/	
530	products controlled	7 7	6%	6%	
409	Inspection methods	7	6%	6%	
427	Part marking	/	6%	6%	
402	Special processes		50/	60/	
402	identified and defined	6	5%	6%	
116	Internal audit	5	4%	7%	
405	Manufacturing records	5	4%	5%	
207	Major design changes	5	4%	5%	
<b>5</b> 00	Tool and gauge	_	40 /	<b>70</b> /	
508	calibration	5	4%	5%	
601	Use of approved	4	20/	40/	
601	suppliers	4	3%	4%	
10.6	Storage of conforming	4	20/	40/	
426	parts	4	3%	4%	
41.6	Control of shelf-life	2	20/	40/	
416	materials	3	2%	4%	
400	Shipping of	2	20/	20/	
428	conforming products	3	2%	3%	
40.6	Special process	2	20/	20/	
406	equipment calibrated	2	2%	3%	
41.5	Verification of shelf-	2	20/	20/	
415	life materials	2	2%	2%	
611	Flow down of data to	2	20/	20/	
611	suppliers	2	2%	2%	
	Work instructions				
401	control manufacturing	2	2%	2%	
401	process Minor design changes	2 2			
206	Minor design changes	<u> </u>	2%	2%	
	Design changes				
205	appropriately documented	2	20/	20/	
205		2	2%	2%	
507	Tool and gauge	2	20/	20/	
507	accuracy	2 2	2%	2%	
105	Quality manual in use	<u> </u>	2%	2%	
414	Receiving inspection	2	20/	20/	
414	records	2	2%	2%	

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Noncompliances	Percent of PMA Systemic Noncompliances	Percent with Procedures in Place
	Change documentation			
311	and approval	1	1%	6%
	FAA notification of			
617	new foreign suppliers	1	1%	3%
521	NDI operators qualified	1	1%	3%
528	NDI records	1	1%	3%
	Documents to			
430	importing country	1	1%	2%
	Control of supplier			
612	design	1	1%	2%
	Special process			
404	operator qualifications	1	1%	2%
423	Control of cleaners	1	1%	1%
	Problems reported by			
	FAA or users			
113	investigated	1	1%	1%
	Facility relocations			
109	reported	1	1%	1%
529	MRB	1	1%	1%
411	Issuance of stamps	1	1%	1%
	Protection of tools and			
510	gauges	1	1%	1%
410	Inspection marking	1	1%	1%
	Segregation of parts in			
424	storage	1	1%	1%
	Operating within			
102	production limitations	1	1%	1%
	Tags, forms, etc.			
107	described	1	1%	1%

Table 11. – Isolated Noncompliances at PMA Facilities

Table 11. – Isolated Noncompliances at PMA Facilities					
Criteria	Description	Number of	Percent of PMA	Percent	
		Isolated	Isolated	with	
		Noncompliances	Noncompliances	Procedures	
116	T . 1 . 1'.	4	607	in Place	
116	Internal audit	4	6%	15%	
	Special processes				
402	identified and defined	4	6%	15%	
409	Inspection methods	4	6%	14%	
	New or changed				
403	processes approved	3	4%	11%	
413	Receiving inspection	3	4%	11%	
412	Environmental controls	2	3%	9%	
	Corrective action based				
534	on MRB	2	3%	8%	
	Control of shelf-life				
416	materials	2	3%	8%	
516	Test records	2	3%	8%	
	Initial and periodic				
602	evaluation of suppliers	2	3%	8%	
	Special process				
406	equipment calibrated	2	3%	8%	
	Use of approved				
601	suppliers	2	3%	8%	
206	Minor design changes	2	3%	7%	
202	Technical data file	2	3%	7%	
204	Design change approval	2	3%	7%	
410	Inspection marking	2	3%	7%	
	Prevention of part				
422	damage/contamination	2	3%	7%	
	Tool and gauge	_	270	,,,	
508	calibration	2	3%	7%	
427	Part marking	2	3%	7%	
529	MRB	2	3%	7%	
505	PRE-control method	1	1%	17%	
503	SPC methods	1	1%	9%	
303	Change documentation	1	1/0	7/0	
311	and approval	1	1%	7%	
423	Control of cleaners	1	1%	4%	
743	Protection of tools and	1	1 / 0	7/0	
510	gauges	1	1%	4%	
511	SOT gauges	1	1%	4%	
311		1	1 70	470	
404	Special process operator	1	10/	40/	
404	qualifications	1	1%	4%	
513	Test procedures	1	1%	4%	

Criteria	Description	Number of Isolated Noncompliances	Percent of PMA Isolated Noncompliances	Percent with Procedures in Place
	controlled			
	Traceability of			
418	components	1	1%	4%
	Operating within			
102	production limitations	1	1%	4%
	Management review of			
203	design changes	1	1%	4%
	Work instructions			
	control manufacturing			
401	process	1	1%	4%
405	Manufacturing records	1	1%	4%
	Segregation of parts in			
424	storage	1	1%	4%
	Shipping of conforming			
428	products	1	1%	4%
105	Quality manual in use	1	1%	3%
	Incorporation of design			
425	changes	1	1%	3%
	Storage of conforming			
426	parts	1	1%	3%
530	Nonconforming products controlled	1	1%	3%

Table 12. – CFR-Based Noncompliances at PMA Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of CFR-	Percent of PMA	Percent
		Based	CFR-Based	with
		Noncompliances	Noncompliances	Procedures
				in Place
	Special processes			
402	identified and defined	2	20%	2%
207	Major design changes	2	20%	2%
524	NDI acceptance criteria	1	10%	3%
	NDI processes			
520	controlled	1	10%	3%
	Statistical sampling			
501	plans	1	10%	2%
	Design changes			
	appropriately			
205	documented	1	10%	1%
	Operating within			
102	production limitations	1	10%	1%
409	Inspection methods	1	10%	1%